

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

PHARMACOLOGY BIOCHEMISTRY AND REHAVIOR

Pharmacology, Biochemistry and Behavior 89 (2008) 481–498

www.elsevier.com/locate/pharmbiochembeh

Differential gene expression in the nucleus accumbens with ethanol selfadministration in inbred alcohol-preferring rats

Zachary A. Rodd^{a,f,*}, Mark W. Kimpel^{a,f}, Howard J. Edenberg ^{b,d,e}, Richard L. Bell^{a,f}, Wendy N. Strother^{a,f}, Jeanette N. McClintick^{b,e}, Lucinda G. Carr^c, Tiebing Liang^c, William J. McBride^{a,f}

^a Department of Psychiatry, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46202-4887, United States

^b Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46202-4887, United States
⁶ Department of Medicine, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46

^e Center for Medical Genomics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46202-4887, United States
^f Institute of Psychiatric Research, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46202-4887,

Received 27 February 2007; received in revised form 15 January 2008; accepted 25 January 2008 Available online 26 February 2008

Abstract

The current study examined the effects of operant ethanol (EtOH) self-administration on gene expression kin the nucleus accumbens (ACB) and amygdala (AMYG) of inbred alcohol-preferring (iP) rats. Rats self-trained on a standard two-lever operant paradigm to administer either water–water, EtOH (15% v/v)–water, or saccharin (SAC; 0.0125% g/v)–water. Animals were killed 24 h after the last operant session, and the ACB and AMYG dissected; RNA was extracted and purified for microarray analysis. For the ACB, there were 513 significant differences at the $p<0.01$ level in named genes: 55 between SAC and water; 215 between EtOH and water, and 243 between EtOH and SAC. In the case of the AMYG ($p<0.01$), there were 48 between SAC and water, 23 between EtOH and water, and 63 between EtOH and SAC group. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis indicated that differences in the ACB between the EtOH and SAC groups could be grouped into 15 significant (p <0.05) categories, which included major categories such as synaptic transmission, cell and ion homeostasis, and neurogenesis, whereas differences between the EtOH and water groups had only 4 categories, which also included homeostasis and synaptic transmission. Several genes were in common between the EtOH and both the SAC and water groups in the synaptic transmission (e.g., Cav2, Nrxn3, Gabrb2, Gad1, Homer1) and homeostasis (S100b, Prkca, Ftl1) categories. Overall, the results suggest that changes in gene expression in the ACB of iP rats are associated with the reinforcing effects of EtOH.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Microarrays; Gene expression; Ethanol self-administration; Alcohol-preferring rats; Nucleus accumbens; Amygdala

1. Introduction

Microarray analysis has emerged as a tool to study the multiple complex effects of pharmacological treatments on changes in gene expression. Examining innate differences and changes in gene expression in response to ethanol (EtOH) in lines or strains of mice and rats with divergent responses to ethanol could provide important clues toward identifying genes and gene networks involved in vulnerability to high alcohol drinking. Further, examining changes in gene expression resulting from chronic EtOH drinking could provide clues to identifying genes and gene networks involved in maintaining high alcohol drinking behavior. Thus far, changes in gene expression under operant EtOH self-administration conditions have not been conducted with rats that have been bred for high alcohol drinking behavior.

[⁎] Corresponding author. Indiana University School of Medicine, Institute of Psychiatric Research, 791 Union Dr., Indianapolis, IN 46202-4887, United States. Tel.: +1 317 278 3003; fax: +1 317 274 1365.

E-mail address: [zrodd@iupui.edu](mailto:zrodd@iupui.�edu) (Z.A. Rodd).

^{0091-3057/\$ -} see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.pbb.2008.01.023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbb.2008.01.023)

Animal models have been used to study the influence of genetic factors on the effects of alcohol and on alcohol drinking behavior (reviewed by [Bell et al., 2005; McBride and Li, 1998;](#page-16-0) [Murphy et al., 2002\)](#page-16-0). Selective breeding programs have developed lines of rats with divergent alcohol drinking behaviors. The results of these studies provide convincing data that genetics can markedly influence alcohol drinking behavior. Many studies have been conducted with these rat lines and, thus far, the overall results suggest that differences in the complex interactions of a number of neurotransmitter systems and multiple intracellular events in several CNS regions may contribute to a predisposition for high alcohol drinking behavior (reviewed by [Bell et al., 2005;](#page-16-0) [McBride and Li, 1998; Murphy et al., 2002](#page-16-0)).

Innate genetic expression differences between high and low alcohol consuming rodent lines have been indicated in several studies. [Edenberg et al. \(2005\)](#page-16-0) examined differences in gene expression in the hippocampus (HIP) of inbred alcoholpreferring (iP) and inbred alcohol-non-preferring (iNP) rats, and reported differences in expression of genes involved in cell growth and adhesion, cellular stress reduction and antioxidation, protein trafficking, cellular signaling pathways, and synaptic function. [Worst et al. \(2005\)](#page-17-0) reported on the transcriptome analysis in the frontal cortex of alcohol-naïve AA (Alko, alcohol) and ANA (Alko, non-alcohol) rats, and found differences between the AA and ANA rats in mRNA levels that could alter transmitter release (e.g., vesicleassociated membrane protein 2, syntaxin 1, syntaxin binding protein). In the whole brain analysis of inbred long-sleep and inbred short-sleep mice, expression of genes encoding for tyrosine protein kinase and ubiquitin carboxyl terminal hydrolase were higher in the brain of long-sleep mice ([Xu et](#page-17-0) [al., 2001](#page-17-0)). In a comprehensive transcriptome meta-analysis of different mice strains, [Mulligan et al. \(2006\)](#page-16-0) identified several cis-regulated candidate genes for an alcohol preference QTL on chromosome 9.

Alterations in gene expression produced by exposure to alcohol have been reported in a few studies. Acute EtOH injections (6 g/kg; i.p.) produced changes in whole brain of C57BL/6J and DBA/2J mice (high and low alcohol drinkers, respectively) in expression of genes involved in regulating cell signaling, gene regulation, and homeostasis/stress response ([Treadwell and Singh, 2004\)](#page-17-0). [Kerns et al. \(2005\)](#page-16-0) reported that acute i.p. ethanol injections altered, in the nucleus accumbens (ACB), prefrontal cortex and ventral tegmental area (VTA) of C57BL/6J and DBA/2J mice, expression of genes involved in glucocorticoid signaling, neurogenesis, myelination, neuropeptide signaling, and retinoic acid signaling. Differences were found in the dorsal HIP of Lewis rats given 12% EtOH or water for 15 months in expression of genes coding for oxidoreductases and ADP-ribosylation factors [\(Saito et al., 2002](#page-17-0)). In contrast, [Saito et al. \(2004\)](#page-17-0) found no statistically significant effects of chronic free-choice alcohol drinking on gene expression in the striatum of C57BL/6By mice. The above studies were conducted using EtOH injections or 24-hour freechoice drinking. Moreover, other then the study of [Kerns et al.](#page-16-0) [\(2005\)](#page-16-0) using i.p. EtOH injections, none of the other studies reported data on limbic regions that are involved in mediating

alcohol drinking. Therefore, it would be important to determine the effects of alcohol drinking on changes in gene expression in limbic regions that are involved in regulating alcohol drinking.

The nucleus accumbens (ACB) and amygdala (AMYG) are considered to be involved in mediating the reinforcing effects of EtOH and EtOH drinking (c.f., [Koob et al., 1998; McBride and](#page-16-0) [Li, 1998\)](#page-16-0). Therefore, it would be important to determine changes in gene expression in these two limbic structures following EtOH self-administration. The objectives of the present study were to determine changes in gene expression associated with operant EtOH self-administration by inbred P rats. The use of operant procedures allowed determining the effects of the reinforcing effects of EtOH on gene expression under a controlled pattern of EtOH access and intake. Previous studies did not use operant techniques, nor did these studies use a controlled pattern of EtOH intake. Moreover, previous EtOH drinking studies did not examine changes in gene expression in the ACB and AMYG. In addition, a group self-administering saccharin (SAC) was used for comparison purposes to provide data on changes associated with learning the operant procedure, and motor activity related to lever responses. The present study was designed to test the hypothesis that EtOH self-administration would produce regional changes within the ACB and AMYG of iP rats in the expression of genes associated with intracellular signaling and synaptic transmission, and that these changes would be different from changes observed with SAC and water self-administration.

2. Methods

To reduce genetic variability, inbred adult (90–100 days old) male rats from the iP (5C) strains were used in these experiments. Inbreeding by brother–sister mating was initiated after the S30 generation of mass selection; the inbred strain was in the F37 generation for these experiments. Rats were maintained on a 12-hour reversed light–dark cycle (lights off at 0900 h). Food and water were available ad libitum throughout the experiment, except during operant testing. The animals used in these experiments were maintained in facilities fully accredited by the Association for the Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC). All research protocols were approved by the institutional animal care and use committee and are in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Care and Use Committee of the National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institutes of Health, and the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, Commission on Life Sciences, National Research Council 1996).

EtOH-naïve iP rats were self-trained on a standard two-lever operant paradigm using daily 1-hour sessions, as previously described for P rats ([Rodd-Henricks et al., 2002a,b](#page-16-0)). Rats $(n=6)$ group) were allowed to self-administer either water–water, EtOH (15% v/v)–water, or SAC (0.0125% g/v)–water. The fixed-ratio (FR) requirement was increased on the EtOH and SAC levers, and on one of the levers in the water–water group, until a concurrent FR5–FR1 schedule of reinforcement was reached. Operant sessions were conducted over a 10-week

period. A computer controlled the operant programs and recorded all data; the number of responses on both levers and the number of reinforcements obtained were recorded throughout all sessions. Sessions were 60 min in duration, occurring daily during the dark cycle. All operant sessions were conducted between 1100 and 1700. Previous research indicated that approximately 90–95% of the predicted fluid intake is consumed during the 60-min sessions ([Rodd et al., 2003\)](#page-17-0).

Animals were killed by decapitation approximately 24 h after the last operant session. In this study, the 24-hour time point was chosen to allow (a) comparison of the EtOH group with the other two groups without EtOH being present; and (b) detection of changes in gene expression associated with self-administration behavior separated from a pharmacological response to EtOH.

Rats were killed within the same 2-hour time frame over 2 days with equal number of animals from each group being killed on each day to minimize differences in time of sacrifice and dissection, and maintain the experimental balance across groups. The head was immediately placed in a cold box maintained at -15 °C, where the brain was rapidly removed and placed on a glass plate for dissection. All equipment used to obtain tissue was treated with RNAse Zap (Ambion, Inc. Austin, TX) to prevent RNA degradation. The ACB and AMYG were dissected according to the coordinates of [Paxinos](#page-16-0) [and Watson \(1998\)](#page-16-0). Briefly, the ACB was dissected from a 2 mm section generated by a coronal cut at 2 mm anterior to the optic chiasm (Bregma 1.70 mm) and a coronal cut at the optic chiasm (Bregma −0.26 mm). The AMYG was dissected by a cut at the lateral borders of the lateral hypothalamus (Bregma −2.12 mm) and ventral of the rhinal fissure, with cortical tissue then trimmed at the lateral edges of the dissected slice. Dissected tissues were immediately homogenized in Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and processed according to the manufacturer's protocol, but with twice the suggested ratio of Trizol to tissue [\(Edenberg et al., 2005\)](#page-16-0). Ethanol precipitated RNA was further purified through RNeasy® columns (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The yield, concentration and purity of the RNA were determined by running a spectrum from 210 to 350 nm, and analyzing the ratio of large and small ribosomal RNA bands using an Agilent Bioanalyzer. Yields and purity of the RNA were excellent.

2.1. Microarray procedures

Separate preparations of total RNAwere made from individual CNS regions from each animal. Samples were not pooled. Standard Affymetrix protocols (GeneChip® Expression Analysis Technical Manual, Rev. 5 and updates) were used to synthesize biotinylated cRNA, starting with 5 μg total RNA from each region, using the Affymetrix kits for cDNA synthesis, in vitro transcription and sample cleanup. Fifteen micrograms of fragmented, biotinylated cRNA from each independent sample were mixed into 300 µl of hybridization cocktail, of which 200 µl was used for each hybridization. Hybridization was for 17 h at 42 °C. Samples were hybridized to the Affymetrix GeneChip® (Rat Genome 230 2.0 array GeneChips). Washing and scanning of the GeneChips were carried out according to standard protocols,

as previously described ([Edenberg et al., 2005; McClintick et al.,](#page-16-0) [2003](#page-16-0)).

To minimize potential systematic errors, all stages of the experiment were balanced across experimental groups. That is, equal numbers of animals in each group were sacrificed within the same 2-hour time frame each day, and equal numbers of RNA preparations from the representative groups were processed through the labeling, hybridization, washing and scanning protocols on a given day, in a counterbalanced order, using premixes of reagents.

2.2. Statistical and neuroinformatics analysis of microarray data

Each GeneChip® was scanned using an Affymetrix Model 3000 scanner and underwent image analysis using Affymetrix GCOS software. Microarray data will be available from the National Center for Biotechnology Information's Gene Expression Omnibus, [http:](http)/[/www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/,](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) ([Barrett et](#page-16-0) [al., 2005; Edgar et al., 2002\)](#page-16-0). Raw .cel files were then imported into the statistical programming environment R (R: A language and environment for statistical computing Ver 2.2.0; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2005) for further analysis with tools available from the Bioconductor Project [\(Gentleman](#page-16-0) [et al., 2004](#page-16-0)), themselves further expanded by the authors using the R language. Expression data from the 18 arrays of each region were normalized within-region and converted to log(2) using the Robust Multi-chip Average (RMA) method [\(Irizarry](#page-16-0) [et al., 2003](#page-16-0)) implemented in the Bioconductor package RMA. As a standardization step to facilitate later comparisons with other experiments, expression levels were scaled such that the mean expression of all arrays was $log_2(1000)$. As we were primarily concerned with identifying genes that could be subjected to further bioinformatic analysis, all probesets currently annotated by Affymetrix as "expressed sequence tags" or whose gene names contain the words "riken", "predicted", or "similar to" were filtered out. We next filtered out probe sets with a very low likelihood of actual expression in our samples, accomplished with the Bioconductor package "genefilter." Probe sets that did not have at least 25% of samples with normalized scaled expression greater than 64 were filtered out. Linear modeling to calculate gene-wise p values for the contrasts of the EtOH group versus water group, SAC group versus water group, and EtOH group versus SAC group was performed using the package Limma [\(Smyth, 2004](#page-17-0)); probe sets were considered to be statistically significant at $p<0.01$, with a false discovery rate (FDR) less than 0.3.

Testing for over-representation of Gene Ontology [\(Harris](#page-16-0) [et al., 2004; Ashburner et al., 2000\)](#page-16-0) biologic process (GO) categories was performed using the Bioconductor package GOstats [\(Gentleman, 2004](#page-16-0)). Briefly, for each gene set tested, a list of unique Entrez-Gene identifiers was constructed. This list was then compared to the list of all known Entrez-Gene identifiers that are represented on the Affymetrix chipset Rat Genome 230 2.0. Identification of over-represented GO categories was then accomplished within GOstats using the hypergeometric distribution. To filter out uninteresting categories, only those categories with greater than 9 and less than 300 genes represented on the chipset were included in the analysis, as were categories with less than 5 significant genes. GO categories were called significant at $p<0.05$. Co-citation and network analyses were conducted with Ingenuity®.

2.3. Quantitative real-time PCR

Real-Time PCR was carried out using SybrGreen chemistry and the ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). The amplification primers were designed using Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems). Total RNA, isolated for the microarray analyses, was employed for these analyses. Following reverse transcription of the RNA (TaqMan Reverse Transcription Reagents, Applied Biosystems), an aliquot of each reverse transcription reaction was amplified in triplicate. This reaction was repeated to generate 6 values for each test group. Two control reactions were run for each RNA preparation: 1) a reverse transcription and PCR reaction with no added RNA to control for contamination of the reagents; and 2) a PCR reaction without the reverse transcription reaction in the presence of RNA to detect DNA contamination of the RNA preparation. To correct for sample-to-sample variation, an endogenous control (GAPDH) was amplified with the target and served as an internal reference to normalize the data. Relative quantification of data from the ABI Prism 7700 Sequence Detection System was performed using the standard curve method (Applied Biosystems, User Bulletin #2; htpp://www.appliedbiosystems.com). Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) measurements were conducted on genes to verify differences observed with microarray hybridization. Genes were selected on the basis of significant differential expression, relatively large fold changes, and the availability of primers.

3. Results

Average responses on the FR5 lever indicated that there was a significant group effect $(F_{2,15}$ values >162.54 , p values < 0.001); post-hoc comparisons indicated that the SAC group responded significantly more than the EtOH and water groups, and the EtOH group responded significantly more than the water group (Fig. 1). Responding by the SAC group was approximately 1.5-fold higher than the EtOH group and 25-fold higher than the water group. Responding on the alternate lever for water was low for all 3 groups and was comparable to responses on the FR5 lever by the water group $(\sim 20$ responses/session).

The average number of SAC reinforcements was 104, which would produce intakes of approximately 10 ml of 0.0125% SAC per session. The average number of EtOH reinforcements was 61, which would produce intakes of approximately 6 ml of 15% EtOH per session. Given that the average body weight was 410 g at the end of testing, the amount of EtOH consumed would be equivalent to approximately 1.7 g/kg/session. This level of EtOH self-administering was reached for at least 21 consecutive days. Previous research indicated that this level of intake would result in blood ethanol concentrations greater than 80 mg% in the P rat (c.f. [Murphy et al., 2002; Rodd-Henricks](#page-16-0) [et al., 2001\)](#page-16-0).

3.1. Gene expression in the ACB

Comparing across the 3 groups, there were 513 differences in named gene expression in the ACB, with 55 differences between the SAC and water groups, 215 differences between the EtOH and water groups, and 243 differences between the EtOH and SAC groups. Most of the differences were in the range of 1.15 to 1.25-fold.

There were 55 differences $(p<0.01)$ in gene expression in the SAC versus the water group, with 31 genes having higher and 24 genes having lower expression in the SAC group [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)). However, with a FDR of 0.87, these differences could have occurred by chance alone.

[Table 2](#page-5-0) lists the genes that were significantly different between the EtOH and water groups. Among the 215 named genes listed, 131 genes had higher and 84 genes lower expression levels in the EtOH compared to the water group. Several neurotransmitter receptors had lower expression levels in the EtOH group; these included the $Htr2a$, $Htr5a$, $Gabrb1$, Gabrb2, Grm1, and Sstr1, whereas only P2ry13 had higher expression in the EtOH group.

There were approximately 243 significant differences in named genes $(p<0.01)$ between the EtOH and SAC groups ([Table 3](#page-8-0)), with 148 genes having higher and 95 genes having lower expression in the EtOH versus the SAC group. Genes for several transmitter receptors had lower expression in the EtOH group than the SAC group; these included Gabrb2. Gabrb3, Gria2, Gria3 and Oprk1; only the expression of the Tacr3 gene was higher in the EtOH than SAC group.

There were 4 significant GO categories that differed between the EtOH and water groups, and 15 GO categories that differed between the EtOH and SAC groups ([Table 4\)](#page-11-0). General categories such as cell and ion transport and homeostasis, and synaptic transmission appeared in both lists of GO categories.

Fig. 1. Responses per session on the lever paired with ethanol, saccharin or water (FR5 lever) by the 3 groups of iP rats ($n=6$ /group). Data are the means \pm SEM. Responding by the saccharin group was significantly higher than responding by other 2 groups; responding by the EtOH group was significantly higher than responding by the water group. Lever presses on the alternate lever for water (FR1 lever) are not shown but are comparable to the lever presses by the water group on the FR5 lever (~20 responses/session).

Table 1

Genes that were different in the nucleus accumbens of iP rats between the saccharin and water groups at $p<0.01$ (FDR >0.8)

Gene	Name	Fold	Limma
symbol		change	<i>p</i> -value
Nt5dc2	5'-nucleotidase domain containing 2	-1.11	0.009
Ar	Androgen receptor	-1.15	0.005
Aqp11	Aquaporin 11	-1.14	0.006
<i>Bcl2l1</i>	Bcl2-like 1	-1.15	0.001
Clstn2	Calsyntenin 2	-1.13	0.009
<i>Csnkld</i>	Casein kinase 1, delta	-1.12	0.008
C8b	Complement component 8,	-1.11	0.004
	beta polypeptide (mapped)		
Cpne9 Cxxc4	Copine family member IX	-1.13	0.005
Doc2a	CXXC finger 4 Double C2, alpha	-1.17 -1.14	0.006 0.003
Dusp1	Dual specificity phosphatase 1	-1.33	0.009
Gsk3b	Glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta ///	-1.14	0.007
	glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta		
Gna11	Guanine nucleotide binding protein,	-1.18	0.003
	alpha 11 /// guanine nucleotide		
	binding protein, alpha 11		
Bat5	HLA-B associated transcript 5	-1.11	0.002
Homer1	Homer homolog 1 (Drosophila)	-2.00	0.001
Jun	Jun oncogene /// Jun oncogene	-1.13	0.009
Numb	Numb gene homolog (Drosophila)	-1.17	0.005
Col2a1	Procollagen, type II, alpha 1	-1.15	0.002
Pdcd8	Programmed cell death 8	-1.16	0.003
Pcsk1	Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 1	-1.13	0.002
<i>Scrg1</i>	Scrapie responsive gene 1	-1.14	0.008
Scamp5	Secretory carrier membrane protein 5	-1.17	0.004
Tmed3	Transmembrane emp24 domain containing 3 ///	-1.13	0.009
	transmembrane emp24 domain containing 3		
Tnfaip6	Tumor necrosis factor alpha induced protein 6	-1.10	0.009
Arpc1b	Actin related protein 2/3 complex, subunit 1B	1.16	0.004
Adra2c	Adrenergic receptor, alpha 2c	1.15	0.005
Cacnb1	Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, beta 1 subunit	1.13	0.008
Cast	Calpastatin	1.13	0.006
Cnksr3	Cnksr family member 3	1.17	0.006
Coil	Coilin	1.20	0.010
Cfb	Complement factor B /// complement factor B	1.20	0.007
Ddx27	DEAD (Asp-Glu-Ala-Asp) box polypeptide 27	1.17	0.006
H2afx	Dolichyl-phosphate (UDP-N-acetylglucosamine)	1.13	0.007
	N-acetylglucosaminephosphotransferase 1		
	(GlcNAc-1-P transferase)		
<i>Eef2k</i>	Eukaryotic elongation factor-2 kinase	1.18	0.009
Eif4a2	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A2	1.15	0.004
Fkbp11	FK506 binding protein 11 ///	1.13	0.005
	FK506 binding protein 11		
Gpnmb	Glycoprotein (transmembrane) nmb ///	1.14	0.004
	glycoprotein (transmembrane) nmb		
Gpm6b	Glycoprotein m6b	1.26	0.006
Gbp2	Guanylate nucleotide binding protein 2	1.19	0.001
Ifitm3 Neurod1	Interferon induced transmembrane protein 3 Neurogenic differentiation 1	1.32 1.16	0.002 0.006
Nexn	Nexilin	1.30	0.002
Nexn	Nexilin	1.24	0.005
Nfs 1	Nitrogen fixation gene 1 (S. cerevisiae)	1.12	0.005
Ppig	Peptidylprolyl isomerase G	1.20	0.008
Pola ₂	Polymerase (DNA directed), alpha 2	1.21	0.003
Kcnd1	Potassium voltage-gated channel,	1.15	0.002
	Shal-related family, member 1		
Ptprc	Protein tyrosine phosphatase,	1.21	0.003
	receptor type, C /// protein tyrosine		
	phosphatase, receptor type, C		
Rimbp2	RIM binding protein 2 /// RIM binding protein 2	1.11	0.008

Additional major GO categories in the EtOH versus SAC contrast included endocytosis, neurogenesis and ensheathment of neurons. Several genes listed in the synaptic transmission category for both EtOH contrasts included Grm1, Rims1, Htr2a, Htr5a, Gria2, Gria3, Sv2a, Scn2b, Gad1, Gad2, Camk4, Gabrb1, Gabrb2, Gabrb3, Cav2, Nrxn3, S100b and Oprk1 (Tables 1 and 2).

There were 73 genes that were significantly changed in the same direction in the EtOH group versus both the water and SAC groups, with 40 genes having higher and 33 genes lower expression in the EtOH group [\(Table 5](#page-11-0)). There were 11 genes within the synaptic transmission category that were in common in both contrasts, with 7 genes (Cav2, Homer1, Nrxn3, Pik4ca, Plp, S100b and Sv2a) having higher, and 4 genes (Camk4, Gabrb2, Gad1 and Syt6) having lower expression in the EtOH group. There were 7 genes within a combined homeostasis/ transport category that were in common in the EtOH group versus the SAC and water groups, with 5 genes (S100b, Sv2a, Clcn3, Ftl1 and Alb) having higher and only 2 genes (Prkca and Atp2b4) having lower expression in the EtOH group.

3.2. Gene expression in the AMYG

In the AMYG, comparing across the 3 groups, there were 134 differences $(p<0.01)$ in the expression of named genes, with 48 differences between the SAC and water groups, 23 differences between the EtOH and water groups, and 63 differences between the EtOH and SAC groups ([Table 6\)](#page-12-0). However, because of the high FDR, these differences could have occurred by chance alone.

3.3. Quantitative RT-PCR confirmation

Because there were more significant differences and more significant GO categories between the EtOH versus SAC group than between the EtOH versus water group, genes selected for qRT-PCR confirmation [\(Table 7\)](#page-14-0) were chosen from the EtOH– SAC comparison [\(Table 3\)](#page-8-0). Among the 12 genes tested, 9 were confirmed as changing significantly in the same direction as the microarray values ([Table 7\)](#page-14-0). Of the remaining 3 genes, *Map1b* changed in the same direction with both measures (however, the RT-PCR values were not statistically different), Camk4 was not Table 2 Genes that were significantly different in the nucleus accumbens of iP rats

 $Table 2 (continued)$

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Gene symbol	Name	Fold change	Limma p -value
Ptprf	Protein tyrosine phosphatase,	1.17	0.003
	receptor type, F		
Plp	Proteolipid protein	1.15	0.006
Ptk2	PTK2 protein tyrosine kinase 2 ///	1.12	0.007
P2ryl3	PTK2 protein tyrosine kinase 2 Purinergic receptor P2Y, G-protein coupled, 13	1.24	0.005
Ua20	Putative UA20 protein	1.14	0.007
Rims1	Regulating synaptic membrane exocytosis 1	1.20	0.000
Rgc32	Response gene to complement 32	1.15	0.005
Rgc32	Response gene to complement 32	1.19	0.003
Rpe65	Retinal pigment epithelium 65	1.17	0.001
Arhgefl	Rho guanine nucleotide exchange	1.19	0.007
	factor (GEF) 1		
Rnasen	Ribonuclease III, nuclear	1.14	0.005
Rpl13a	Ribosomal protein L13A ///	1.14	0.005
	ribosomal protein L13A		
Rps29	Ribosomal protein S29	1.14	0.006
Rps3a	Ribosomal protein S3a	1.11	0.010
Rps6ka2	Ribosomal protein S6 kinase polypeptide 2	1.22	0.009
Rnf44	Ring finger protein 44	1.12	0.007
<i>S100b</i>	S100 protein, beta polypeptide	1.14	0.008
Scamp1	Secretory carrier membrane protein 1	1.18	0.002
Sepw1	Selenoprotein W, muscle 1	1.13	0.008
<i>Sdccagl</i>	Serologically defined colon cancer antigen 1	1.14	0.005
Shank1	SH3 and multiple ankyrin repeat domains 1	1.19	0.003
Shank2	SH3/ankyrin domain gene 2 ///	1.17	0.004
	SH3/ankyrin domain gene 2		
Slc2a1	Solute carrier family 2	1.15	0.004
	(facilitated glucose transporter), member 1 ///		
	solute carrier family 2		
	(facilitated glucose transporter), member 1		
Slc22a17	Solute carrier family 22	1.11	0.005
	(organic cation transporter), member 17		
Slc23a2	Solute carrier family 23	1.19	0.003
	(nucleobase transporters), member 2		
Slc25a25	Solute carrier family 25 (mitochondrial carrier,	1.15	0.003
	phosphate carrier), member 25 /// solute carrier		
	family 25 (mitochondrial carrier, phosphate		
Slc33a1	carrier), member 25		
	Solute carrier family 33	1.23	0.008
Slc34a1	(acetyl-CoA transporter), member 1 solute carrier family 34	1.18	0.005
	(sodium phosphate), member 1		
<i>Slc4a4</i>	Solute carrier family 4, member 4	1.22	0.001
Scd2	Stearoyl-Coenzyme A desaturase 2	1.15	0.009
Sc5d	Sterol-C5-desaturase (fungal ERG3,	1.21	0.000
	delta-5-desaturase) homolog (S. cerevisiae) ///		
	sterol-C5-desaturase (fungal ERG3,		
	delta-5-desaturase) homolog (S. cerevisiae)		
Sympk	Symplekin	1.12	0.004
Sv2a	Synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2a	1.22	0.001
Sdc4	Syndecan 4	1.17	0.009
Tbkbp1	TBK1 binding protein 1	1.22	0.001
Thap7	THAP domain containing 7	1.16	0.001
pur-beta	Transcription factor Pur-beta ///	1.17	0.001
	Transcription factor Pur-beta		
Tmem10	Transmembrane protein 10 ///	1.14	0.010
	transmembrane protein 10		
Ets 1	v-ets erythroblastosis virus E26	1.22	0.000
	oncogene homolog 1 (avian)		
<i>Vcam1</i>	Vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 ///	1.14	0.008
	vascular cell adhesion molecule 1		
Z/p212	Zinc finger protein 212	1.11	0.004

changed in the RT-PCR measure, and Nrxn3 changed significantly in both measures, but in opposite directions ([Table 7](#page-14-0)). Similar to previous studies from our lab ([Edenberg](#page-16-0) [et al., 2005; Kimpel et al., 2007\)](#page-16-0), there was a high degree of concordance between the microarray and RT-PCR results. However, the lack of agreement between the two measures for Camk4 and Nrxn3 suggests the results for these two genes are inconclusive.

3.4. Supplemental tables

See Supplemental Tables A and B for more complete information on data for differences in the ACB between the EtOH and water groups, and between the EtOH and SAC groups.

4. Discussion

The major findings of this study are that, compared to the water control group, EtOH self-administration, but not SAC self-administration, produced changes in named gene expression in the ACB of iP rats [\(Tables 1 and 2\)](#page-4-0), whereas significant changes in named gene expression were not observed in the AMYG ([Table 6](#page-12-0)). The effects of EtOH self-administration on gene expression in the ACB is not due to the presence of EtOH in the tissue at the time of killing, because animals were killed 24 h after the last operant session. Also, the differences between the EtOH and water groups do not appear to be due to motor activity, learning or conditioning factors associated with the operant task, because the SAC group learned the task as well as the EtOH group and responded more on the active lever than the water lever ([Fig. 1\)](#page-3-0), but there were no significant differences in gene expression in the ACB between the SAC and water groups ([Table 1](#page-4-0)). Changes associated with the operant task may have occurred in the ACB of EtOH and SAC groups, but these changes were not detectable after 24 h, as suggested by the SAC versus water contrast ([Table 1\)](#page-4-0). The changes that persisted for 24 h in the ACB of the EtOH group may be due to the chronic effects of EtOH exposure and changes associated with the CNS reinforcing effects of EtOH. More robust differences between the EtOH and the other groups may have been observed with the present experimental conditions, if the ACB shell had been analyzed separately from the core, and if shorter time points had been analyzed.

The apparent lack of finding significant changes in gene expression in the AMYG between any of the groups may be due to the combination of factors, i.e., (a) changes are occurring but Table 3 Genes that were significantly different in the nucleus accumbens of iP rats

receptor, subunit beta 3

(continued on next page)

Table 3 (continued)

Table 3 (continued)

they do not persist for 24 h, and (b) measuring the whole AMYG may mask changes occurring within distinct amygdaloid nuclei. It is also possible in the AMYG, and to a lesser extent in the ACB, only small changes in mRNA may be needed to maintain larger changes in protein levels that may have developed with chronic drinking. Therefore, many changes may have occurred in the AMYG and ACB that are not detected with microarray analyses, but may be detected with sensitive proteomics methods.

Common differences in the EtOH group compared to both the SAC and water groups could indicate differences in the CNS reinforcing effects of EtOH, the chronic general pharmacological actions of EtOH, and conditioning factors associated with the operant EtOH sessions. In the ACB, there were 73 genes that were significantly different in the EtOH group versus both the water and SAC groups (Table 5). GO analysis indicated two general overlapping categories in the contrasts of EtOH versus water and EtOH versus SAC (Table 4), i.e., synaptic transmission and homeostasis/transport. Seven of the 11 genes that were changed in the same direction in the ACB had higher expression in the EtOH group (Table 5), suggesting increased transmission at certain synapses in the ACB. In contrast, the lower expression of Gad1 and Gabrb2 may indicate reduced transmission at certain GABA-A receptors. If reduced transmission is occurring at certain GABA synapses and increased transmission is occurring at non-inhibitory synapses, the net results could indicate increased excitatory synaptic function within the ACB of the EtOH group. In addition, 5 of the 7 genes in common between the EtOH and both the other two groups in the homeostasis/transport category had higher expression in the EtOH group (Table 5), suggesting that the ACB may have reached a different homeostatic state as a result of chronic EtOH self-administration.

Ingenuity[®] analysis indicated a network of genes, involved in intracellular signaling pathways (e.g., Prkca, Gnaq, Prkacb),

Table 4

Significant GO categories for EtOH versus water and EtOH versus SAC comparisons

Term	p -value	No. of significant genes	Total genes
I. EtOH versus water significant categories			
Anion transport	0.04	5	65
Calcium ion transport	0.02	6	72
Chemical homeostasis	0.01	10	151
Synaptic transmission	0.02	15	288
II. EtOH versus SAC significant categories			
Calcium ion homeostasis	0.01	9	92
Cell ion homeostasis	0.00	17	132
Cell maturation	0.01	6	50
Chemical homeostasis	0.00	19	178
Endocytosis	0.02	5	47
Ensheathment of neurons	0.00	7	33
Forebrain development	0.00	7	35
Membrane organization and biogenesis	0.02	9	116
Myelination	0.00	5	27
Negative regulation of transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter	0.04	6	73
Neurogenesis	0.05	15	265
Neurological process	0.00	24	272
Nucleocytoplasmic transport	0.05	5	56
Potassium ion transport	0.02	7	80
Synaptic transmission	0.00	17	233

Table 5

Genes that were significantly different and changed in the same direction in the nucleus accumbens of iP rats for the ethanol group versus both the saccharin and water groups

Symbol	Gene description	Higher $(+)$ or lower $(-)$ with EtOH	GO category
Pdpk1	3-phosphoinositide dependent		
	protein kinase-1		
Adar	Adenosine deaminase,		
Alb	RNA-specific	$^{+}$	h/t
Atrx	Albumin Alpha thalassemia/mental retardation		
	syndrome X-linked homolog (human)		
Appbp2	Amyloid beta precursor protein (cytoplasmic tail) binding protein 2		
Atxn3	Ataxin 3		
Atp2b4	ATPase, Ca++ transporting, plasma membrane 4		h/t
<i>Abcc4</i>	ATP-binding cassette, sub-family C (CFTR/MRP), member 4	$^{+}$	
Blnk	B-cell linker		
B2m	Beta-2 microglobulin	$^{+}$	
Cdh11	Cadherin 11	$^{+}$	
Cacnb4	Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, beta 4 subunit	$\overline{}$	
Camk4	Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase IV		st
<i>Csnkle</i>	Casein kinase 1, epsilon		
Cflar	CASP8 and FADD-like apoptosis regulator	$^{+}$	
Cav2	Caveolin 2	$^{+}$	st
Cd99	CD99 antigen	$^{+}$	
Clcn3	Chloride channel 3	$^{+}$	h/t
Ctdsp1	CTD (carboxy-terminal domain, RNA polymerase II, polypeptide A) small phosphatase 1	$^{+}$	
Ccnh	Cyclin H		
P22k15	Cystatin related protein 2	$^{+}$	
Emcn	Endomucin	$^{+}$	
Ftl1	Ferritin light chain 1	$^{+}$	h/t
Gabrb2	Gamma-aminobutyric acid		st
	(GABA-A) receptor, subunit beta 2		
Gad1	Glutamic acid decarboxylase 1		st
Gpd1	Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1 (soluble)	$^{+}$	
Gnaq	Guanine nucleotide binding protein, alpha q polypeptide		
Homer1	Homer homolog 1 (Drosophila)	$\hspace{0.1mm} +$	st
Hyal3	Hyaluronoglucosaminidase 3	$^{+}$	
Kifc3	Kinesin family member C3	$^{+}$	
Klf15 Map1b	Kruppel-like factor 15 Microtubule-associated protein 1b	$\overline{}$	
Mag	Myelin-associated glycoprotein	$^{+}$	
Mcl1	Myeloid cell leukemia sequence 1	$^{+}$	
Mllt10	Myeloid/lymphoid or mixed-lineage leukemia (trithorax homolog,	$\overline{}$	
	<i>Drosophila</i>); translocated to, 10		
	Nclone10 mRNA	$^{+}$	
Nedd4a	Neural precursor cell expressed, developmentally down-regulated		
	gene 4A		
Nrxn3	Neurexin 3	$^{+}$	st
Nfia Nfib	Nuclear factor I/A Nuclear factor I/B	$^{+}$ $^{+}$	

Table 5 (continued)

that mainly had reduced expression in the EtOH group compared to the other groups [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-15-0). These results could suggest that chronic EtOH may be reducing general cellular functions, some of which are calcium-dependent. In contrast, other genes involved in pro-inflammatory responses (e.g., Cflar, Mcl1) and histone regulation (e.g., Thap7, Est1) appear mainly to have higher expression in the ACB of the EtOH group ([Fig. 2\)](#page-15-0). Overall, these results suggest that chronic EtOH self-

× u. ۰, ٠ × I	
------------------------------	--

Genes that were different in the amygdala of iP rats between the Ethanol, Saccharin and Water groups at $p<0.01$ (FDR>0.5)

(continued on next page)

Table 6 (continued)

Gene	Name	Fold	Limma
symbol		change	$p-$ value
Arhgdib	Rho, GDP dissociation inhibitor (GDI) beta	1.13	0.009
$RTI-Bb$	RT1 class II, locus Bb	1.25	0.010
Srpk3	Serine/arginine-rich protein specific kinase 3	1.32	0.000
Smyd2	SET and MYND domain containing 2	1.14	0.007
Stx4a	Syntaxin 4A (placental)	1.16	0.009
Vwf	von Willebrand factor /// von Willebrand factor	1.24	0.007
	II. Ethanol versus water (FDR = 1.0)		
Bfar	Bifunctional apoptosis regulator	-1.24	0.007
Cast	Calpastatin	-1.16	0.004
Eif4g2	Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4 gamma, 2	-1.22	0.003
<i>Gabbr1</i>	Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) B receptor 1	-1.33	0.005
Homer2	Homer homolog 2 (Drosophila)	-1.16	0.008
Igf2r	Insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor /// insulin-like growth factor 2 receptor	-1.19	0.005
<i>Illrap</i>	Interleukin 1 receptor accessory protein	-1.15	0.009
Rab27a	RAB27A, member RAS oncogene family	-1.23	0.007
Slc30a7	Solute carrier family 30 (zinc transporter),	-1.18	0.009
Tef	member 7 Thyrotroph embryonic factor	-1.20	0.006
Tgfbr1	Transforming growth factor, beta receptor 1 ///	-1.18	0.004
	transforming growth factor, beta receptor 1		
Acvr1	Activin A receptor, type 1	1.14	0.009
Aldh5a1	Aldehyde dehydrogenase family 5,	1.19	0.004
	sub-family A1		
Amt	Aminomethyltransferase (glycine cleavage	1.14	0.009
	system protein T) /// aminomethyltransferase		
	(glycine cleavage system protein T)		
Acly Bhlhb ₂	ATP citrate lyase /// ATP citrate lyase Basic helix-loop-helix domain containing,	1.16 1.25	0.005 0.006
	class B2		
Dtnbp1	Distrobrevin binding protein 1	1.16	0.007
Ifngr	Interferon gamma receptor 1	1.14	0.005
Lpxn	Leupaxin	1.13	0.007
Rpo1-4 <i>Serpinc1</i>	RNA polymerase 1-4 Serine (or cysteine) peptidase inhibitor,	1.16 1.17	0.005 0.009
	clade C (antithrombin), member 1		
Stom	Stomatin	1.21	0.008
Ttc23	Tetratricopeptide repeat domain 23	1.18	0.004
	III. Ethanol versus saccharin (FDR=0.5–0.8)		
A2m	Alpha-2-macroglobulin ///	-1.23	0.008
	alpha-2-macroglobulin		
Atp5i	ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial	-1.19	0.002
	F0 complex, subunit e /// ATP synthase,		
	H+ transporting, mitochondrial F0 complex,		
	subunit e		
Bckdha	Branched chain ketoacid dehydrogenase E1,	-1.17	0.007
Cacnalc	alpha polypeptide Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, L type,	-1.20	0.003
	alpha 1C subunit		
Camk2d	Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II, delta	-1.13	0.008
Ceacam1	CEA-related cell adhesion molecule 1	-1.19	0.004
Cops3	COP9 (constitutive photomorphogenic)	-1.14	0.008
	homolog, subunit 3 (Arabidopsis thaliana)		
Ckap5	Cytoskeleton associated protein 5	-1.15	0.009
Dgki	Diacylglycerol kinase, iota	-1.19	0.006
<i>Dscr1l1</i>	Down syndrome critical region gene 1-like 1	-1.25	0.005
<i>Fmo2</i> <i>Gspt1</i>	Flavin containing monooxygenase 2 G1 to S phase transition 1	-1.21	0.007 0.001
Gipr	Gastric inhibitory polypeptide receptor	-1.15 -1.15	0.003

Table 6 (continued)

Gene symbol	Name	Fold change	Limma $p-$ value
Rgs18	Regulator of G-protein signaling 18	1.35	0.003
<i>Rnf138</i>	Ring finger protein 138	1.14	0.001
	RM2 mRNA, partial sequence	1.36	0.000
Slc33a1	Solute carrier family 33	1.14	0.008
	(acetyl-CoA transporter), member 1		
St3gal5	ST3 beta-galactoside	1.16	0.002
	alpha-2,3-sialyltransferase 5		
Txnl4b	Thioredoxin-like 4B	1.15	0.007
Tle4	Transducin-like enhancer of split 4,	1.14	0.009
	E(spl) homolog (<i>Drosophila</i>)		
Trpv6	Transient receptor potential cation channel,	1.27	0.006
	sub-family V, member 6		
Tpbg	Trophoblast glycoprotein	1.30	0.006
Tsc22d3	TSC22 domain family 3 /// TSC22	1.15	0.002
	domain family 3		

administration may be producing effects on multiple intracellular systems that could alter cellular function and the response of these cells to environmental alterations.

In the ACB, the two main GO categories represented were synaptic transmission and homeostasis/transport for the EtOH group versus the other two groups. In the synaptic transmission category, Homer1, Sv2a and Cav2 had higher expression levels in the EtOH group than in the SAC and water groups ([Table 5\)](#page-11-0). The Homer 1 genes are part of a family of synaptic scaffolding proteins that are involved in regulating the insertion of metabotropic glutamate (mGlu) receptors into the synaptic plasma membrane ([Kammermeier, 2006; Tappe and Kuner,](#page-16-0) [2006](#page-16-0)). The protein for $Cav2$ can also function as a scaffolding protein and interact with mGlu receptors ([Burgueno et al.,](#page-16-0) [2004](#page-16-0)), as well as other receptors, e.g., dopamine D1 ([Yu et al.,](#page-17-0) [2004](#page-17-0)) and muscarinic ([Perez-Rosello et al., 2005](#page-16-0)) receptors. The synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2a $(Sv2a)$ is involved in regulating exocytosis ([Xu and Bajjalieh, 2001; Crowder et al.,](#page-17-0) [1999](#page-17-0)). Overall, these changes suggest that complex neuronal alterations may be occurring to increase neuronal function at certain synapses.

Expression of Gpd1 was elevated in the ACB of the alcohol group in the present study ([Table 5](#page-11-0)); similar findings were reported for Gpd1 in the hippocampus of C57 mice exposed to EtOH in a vapor chamber ([Daniels and Buck, 2002](#page-16-0)), although opposite effects were observed for Gpd1 in the hippocampus of rats that had been on a forced liquid diet for several months ([Saito et al., 2002](#page-17-0)). An increased expression of Kruppel-like factors (Klf), transcription factors possibly involved in controlling neuronal morphogenesis ([Laub et al., 2005](#page-16-0)), was observed in the present study in the ACB [\(Table 5](#page-11-0)), and in the study of [Daniels and Buck \(2002\).](#page-16-0) The increased expression of Klf might reflect alterations in neuronal structure.

Some of the changes observed with EtOH self-administration in the present study have also been reported for human alcoholics. [Lewohl et al. \(2000\)](#page-16-0) examined differences in gene expression in the frontal cortex of human alcoholics and controls, and reported reduced expression of Gabrb2 and microtubule-associated protein 4. In the present study ([Table 5\)](#page-11-0), lower expression levels of Gabrb2 and Map1b were observed in the ACB of the alcohol group. [Flatscher-Bader et al. \(2005\)](#page-16-0) reported reduced expression of synaptogamin 1 (involved in exocytosis) in the ACB of human alcoholics, whereas, in the present, lower expression levels of Syt6 were observed in the ACB of the EtOH group ([Table 5\)](#page-11-0). The study of [Lewohl et al.](#page-16-0) [\(2000\)](#page-16-0) reported lower expression levels of genes for many myelin proteins in the frontal cortex of alcoholics. However, in the present study, lower expression levels of genes for myelinassociated proteins were not observed, suggesting that similar signs of neuronal damage were not evident in the ACB of the iP rats self-administering EtOH, as were found for human alcoholics ([Lewohl et al., 2000](#page-16-0)).

Acute EtOH administration increased expression of Klf15 and Nfkbia in the whole brain of C57 and DBA mice ([Treadwell](#page-17-0) [and Singh, 2004\)](#page-17-0), a finding also observed in the ACB of the EtOH group in the present study ([Table 5](#page-11-0)), suggesting that acute EtOH administration can increase expression of genes for transcription factors and that these effects persist with chronic

Table 7

Quantitative RT-PCR confirmation of differences observed in the nucleus accumbens between EtOH and SAC groups

Gene symbol	Gene name	Microarray qRT-PCR	fold change fold change p-value	Microarray	qRT-PCR p -value
	Cacnb4 Calcium channel, voltage-dependent, beta 4 subunit	-1.31	-1.28	0.004	0.003
Camk4	Calcium/calmodulin- -1.23 dependent protein kinase IV		1.01	0.002	0.42
Cflar	CASP8 and FADD- like apoptosis	1.29	1.04	0.001	0.036
Cflar	$regular$ - intron CASP8 and FADD- like apoptosis	1.29	1.05	0.001	0.001
	$regular - exon$ Gabrb2 GABA-A receptor, -1.31 beta 2 subunit		-1.05	0.004	0.069
Gnaq	Guanine nucleotide -1.30 binding protein, alpha q polypeptide		-1.04	0.001	0.063
	Homer1 Homer homolog $1(Drosophila)$ — exon	-1.15	-1.33	0.089	0.075
	Homer1 Homer homolog $1(Drosophila)$ — intron	3.49	2.52	0.001	0.001
Map1b	Microtubule- associated protein 1b	-1.37	-1.04	0.001	0.12
Nrxn3	Neurexin 3	1.31	-1.31	0.001	0.001
Pdpk1	3-phosphoinositide -1.47 dependent protein kinase-1		-1.15	0.002	0.007
	Prkach Protein kinase, cAMP dependent, catalytic, beta	-1.29	-1.08	0.001	0.030

Negative values indicate that EtOH values are lower than SAC values; positive values indicate that EtOH values are higher than SAC values.

C 2000-2008 Ingenuity Systems, Inc. All rights reserved

Fig. 2. Ingenuity® analysis showing co-citation and networks for genes that were significantly different between the ethanol group and the saccharin group. Green indicates genes that had reduced expression in the ethanol group, and red indicates genes that had higher expression in the ethanol group. Open symbols indicate that these genes were not statistically different between the ethanol group and the other two groups, but these genes were highly linked to multiple genes that were significantly changed. See Tables [2 and 3](#page-5-0) for abbreviations of genes that changed significantly. Reduced expression of genes involved in intracellular signaling networks is depicted in green on the right hand part of the figure. Increased expression of genes involved in pro-inflammatory responses and histone regulation is shown in red on the left side. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

EtOH exposure. In contrast to the decreased expression of Gabrb2 in the ACB of the chronic EtOH group ([Table 5\)](#page-11-0), acute EtOH administration increased Gabrb1 gene expression in the ACB of mice ([Kerns et al., 2005](#page-16-0)).

If there were innate differences in certain CNS regions that predispose certain individuals to high alcohol drinking behavior, then one hypothesis could be that expression of these genes is altered by EtOH. [Kimpel et al. \(2007\)](#page-16-0) reported that there were innate differences in gene expression in 5 CNS regions, i.e., ACB, AMYG, frontal cortex, hippocampus, striatum, between the iP and iNP rats. Comparison of the expression of genes that changed in the ACB of the EtOH group versus the other 2 groups, with innate differences in gene expression between iP and iNP rats indicated a number of overlapping genes (summarized in [Table 8](#page-16-0)). Sixteen named genes that differed between the iP and iNP rats also differed in the EtOH group versus both the SAC and water groups. A change in the opposite direction between innate and EtOH selfadministration values might suggest that alcohol drinking is attempting to bring the expression of these genes toward a normal value. On the other hand, the expression of genes that changed in the same direction between the innate and EtOH self-administration studies might indicate that these genes are involved in vulnerability to high alcohol drinking and maintaining high alcohol drinking after it has begun. Genes that were changed in the same direction with alcohol drinking as were found between the iP versus the iNP rats [\(Table 8\)](#page-16-0) included several genes coding for proteins involved in neurotransmission/synaptic function (e.g., Gnaq, Syt6, Sv2a, Plp). Compared to changes observed between iP and iNP rats ([Kimpel et al., 2007](#page-16-0)), alcohol self-administration produced changes in the opposite direction for several of genes coding for proteins involved in synaptic transmission (e.g., *Homer1*, Gabrb2) or intracellular signaling (Prkca), suggesting that alcohol drinking may be attempting to re-establish 'normal' levels of the proteins produced by these genes.

In conclusion, the current study indicates that the ACB may be an important limbic structure regulating the reinforcing effects of EtOH in iP rats, and that changes in the expression of genes involved in synaptic transmission, homeostasis and intracellular signaling may contribute to this regulation. The study has some shortcomings, i.e., there may be a number of false positives in our analysis, and only a limited number of genes were confirmed. Future studies should be directed at

Table 8

Comparison of innate differences in gene expression between iP and iNP rats and effects of EtOH self-administration by iP rats on gene expression in the nucleus accumbens

Gene description	<i>iP</i> versus <i>iNP</i>	EtOH versus SAC and water
Proteolipid protein	$Plp (+)$	$Plp (+)$
Adenosine monophosphate deaminase/ adenosine deaminase	Ampd $3 (+)$	Adar $(-)$
3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase/glycerol- Phgdh $(-)$ 3-phosphate dehydrogenase		Gdp1 $(+)$
Beta-2 microglobulin	$B2m(-)$	$B2m (+)$
ATPase, Ca++ transporting, plasma membrane	Atp2a2 $(-)$	Atp2b4 $(-)$
Guanine nucleotide binding protein alpha	Gnao $(-)$	Gnaq $(-)$
Homer homolog 1, 2 (Drosophila)	Homer2 $(-)$	Homer1 $(+)$
Microtubule-associated proteins tau, 1A/1B light chain 3, 1b	Mapt $(-);$ Map1lc3b $(+)$	Map1b $(-)$
Casein kinase 1 delta/epsilon	Csnk1d $(-)$	Csnk1e $(-)$
Synaptogamin 6	Syt $6(-)$	Syt $6(-)$
Albumin	Alb $(+)$	Alb $(+)$
Ferritin heavy/light chain 1	Fth $1 (+)$	$FtI1 (+)$
Gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor subunit beta 1, 2	Gabrb1 $(+)$	Gabrb2 $(-)$
Response gene to complement 32	$Rgc32 (+)$	$Rgc32 (+)$
Synaptic vesicle glycoprotein 2b, 2a	$Sv2b (+)$	$Sv2a (+)$
Protein kinase C, alpha, delta, gamma	Prkcd $(+)$ Prkcg $(+)$	Prkca $(-)$

Plus (+) symbol indicates higher expression in iP compared to iNP or higher expression in EtOH group versus SAC and Water groups; minus (−) symbol indicates the opposite.

analyzing more discrete sub-regions and nuclei within the ACB and AMYG at shorter time points after the operant sessions.

Acknowledgements

The present study was supported by grants AA07611, AA07462, AA13521 [INIA Project], AA13522 [INIA Project], AA016652 [INIA Project] and INGEN® (which is partially funded by Lilly Endowment Inc.).

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2008.01.023.](http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.pbb.2008.01.023)

References

- Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. The Gene Ontology Consortium. Nat Genet 2000;25:25–9.
- Barrett T, Suzek TO, Troup DB, Wilhite SE, Ngau WC, Ledoux P, et al. NCBI GEO: mining millions of expression profiles—database and tools. Nucleic Acids Res 2005;33:D562–6 Database issue.
- Bell, RL, Rodd, ZA, Murphy, JM, McBride, WJ. Use of selectively bred alcoholpreferring rats to study alcohol abuse, relapse and craving. In Comprehensive Handbook of Alcohol Related Pathology, Vol 3; Preedy, V.R., Watson, R.R. (Eds). New York: Academic Press, Elsevier Science; 2005, pp 1515–1533.
- Burgueno J, Canela EI, Mallol J, Franco R, Ciruela F. Mutual regulation between metabotropic glutamate type 1 alpha receptor and caveolin proteins: from traffic to constitutive activity. Exp Cell Res 2004;300:23–34.
- Crowder KM, Gunther JM, Jones TA, Hale BD, Zhang HZ, Peterson MR, et al. Abnormal neurotransmission in mice lacking synaptic vesicle protein 2A (SV2A). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999;96:15268–152673.
- Daniels GM, Buck KJ. Expression profiling identifies strain-specific changes associated with ethanol withdrawal in mice. Genes Brain Behav 2002;1:35–45.
- Edenberg HJ, Strother WN, McClintick JN, Tian H, Stephans M, Jerome RE, et al. Gene expression in the hippocampus of inbred alcohol-preferring and -nonpreferring rats. Genes Brain Behav 2005;4:20–30.
- Edgar R, Domrachev M, Lash AE. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridization array data repository. Nucleic Acids Res 2002;30:207–10.
- Flatscher-Bader T, van der Brug M, Hwang JW, Gochee PA, Matsumoto I, Niwa S, et al. Alcohol-responsive genes in the frontal cortex and nucleus accumbens of human alcoholics. J Neurochem 2005;93:359–70.
- Gentleman RC. Using GO for statistical analysis. Proc COMPSTAT 2004:171–80.
- Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, et al. Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. Genome Biol 2004;5:R80.
- Harris MA, Clark J, Ireland A, Lomax J, Ashburner M, Foulger R, et al. The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics resource. Nucleic Acids Res 2004;32:D258–61 Database issue.
- Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, et al. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. Biostatistics 2003;4:249–64.
- Kammermeier PJ. Surface clustering of metabotropic glutamate receptor 1 induced by long Homer proteins. BMC Neurosci 2006;7:1.
- Kerns RT, Ravindranathan A, Hassan S, Cage MP, York T, Sikela JM, et al. Ethanol-responsive brain region expression networks: implications for behavioral responses to acute ethanol in DBA/2J versus C57BL/6J mice. J Neurosci 2005;25:2255–66.
- Kimpel MW, Strother WN, McClintick JN, Carr LG, Edenberg HJ, McBride WJ. Functional gene expression differences between inbred alcoholpreferring (iP) and -non-preferring (iNP) rats in five brain regions. Alcohol 2007;41:95–132.
- Koob GF, Roberts AJ, Schulteis G, Parsons LF, Heyser CJ, Hyytia P, et al. Neurocircuitry targets in ethanol reward and dependence. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 1998;22:3–9.
- Laub F, Lei L, Sumiyoshi H, Kajimura D, Dragomir C, Smaldone S, et al. Transcription factor KLF7 is important for neuronal morphogenesis in selected regions of the nervous system. Mol Cell Biol 2005;25:5699–711.
- Lewohl JM, Wang L, Miles MF, Zhang L, Dodd PR, Harris RA. Gene expression in human alcoholism: microarray analysis of frontal cortes. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2000;24:1873–82.
- McBride WJ, Li TK. Animal models of alcoholism: neurobiology of high alcohol-drinking behavior in rodents. Crit Rev Neurobiol 1998;12:339–69.
- McClintick JN, Jerome RE, Nicholson CR, Crabb DW, Edenberg HJ. Reproducibility of oligonucleotide arrays using small samples. BMC Genomics 2003;4:1–15.
- Mulligan MK, Ponomerav I, Hitzemann RJ, Belknap JK, Tabakoff B, Harris RA, et al. Toward understanding the genetics of alcohol drinking through transcriptome meta-analysis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103:6368–73.
- Murphy JM, Stewart RB, Bell RL, Badia-Elder NE, Carr LG, McBride WJ, et al. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of the Indiana University rat lines selectively bred for high and low alcohol preference. Behav Genet 2002;32:363–88.
- Paxinos G, Watson C. The rat brain in stereotaxic coordinates. New York: Academic Press; 1998.
- Perez-Rosello T, Figueroa A, Salgado H, Vilchis C, Tecuapetia F, Guzman JN, et al. Cholinergic control of firing pattern and neurotransmission in rat neostriatal projection neurons: role of Cav2.1 and Cav2.2 Ca+2 channels. J Neurophysiol 2005;93:2507–19.
- Rodd-Henricks ZA, Bell RL, Kuc KA, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, et al. Effects of concurrent access to multiple ethanol concentrations and repeated deprivations on alcohol intake of alcohol-preferring rats. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2001;25:1140–50.
- Rodd-Henricks ZA, Bell RL, Kuc KA, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, et al. Effects of ethanol exposure on subsequent acquisition and extinction of ethanol

self-administration and expression of alcohol-seeking behavior in adult alcohol-preferring (P) rats. I. Periadolescent exposure. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2002a;26:1632–41.

- Rodd-Henricks ZA, Bell RL, Kuc KA, Murphy JM, McBride WJ, Lumeng L, et al. Effects of ethanol exposure on subsequent acquisition and extinction of ethanol self-administration and expression of alcohol-seeking behavior in adult alcohol-preferring (P) rats. II. Adult exposure. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2002b;26:1642–52.
- Rodd ZA, Bell RL, Kuc KA, Murphy JM, Lumeng L, Li TK, et al. Effects of repeated alcohol deprivations on operant ethanol self-administration by alcohol-preferring (P) rats. Neuropsychopharmacology 2003;28:1614–21.
- Saito M, Smiley J, Toth R, Vadasz C. Microarray analysis of gene expression in rat hippocampus after chronic ethanol treatment. Neurochem Res 2002;27:1221–9.
- Saito M, Szakall I, Toth R, Kovacs KM, Oros M, Prasad VV, et al. Mouse striatal transcriptome analysis: effects of oral self-administration of alcohol. Alcohol 2004;32:223–41.
- Smyth GK. Linear models and empirical Bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol 2004;3(no. 1).
- Tappe A, Kuner R. Regulation of motor performance and striatal function by synaptic scaffolding proteins of the Homer 1 family. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2006;103:774–9.
- Treadwell JA, Singh SM. Microarray analysis of mouse brain gene expression following acute ethanol treatment. Neurochem Res 2004;29:357–69.
- Worst TJ, Tan JC, Robertson DJ, Freeman WM, Hyytia P, Kiianmaa K, et al. Transcriptome analysis of frontal cortex in alcohol-preferring and nonpreferring rats. J Neurosci Res 2005;80:529–38.
- Xu T, Bajjalieh SM. SV2 modulates the size of the readily releasable pool of secretory vesicles. Nat Cell Biol 2001;3:691–8.
- Xu Y, Ehringer M, Yang F, Sikela JM. Comparison of global brain gene expression profiles between inbred long-sleep and inbred short-sleep mice by high-density gene array hybridization. Alcohol Clin Exp Res 2001;25:810–8.
- Yu P, Yang Z, Jones JE, Wang Z, Owens SA, Mueller SC, et al. D1 dopamine receptor signaling involves caveolin-2 in HEK-293 cells. Kidney Int 2004;66:2167–80.